

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY OFFICE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR

To: Councilmembers, Anne Arundel County Council

From: Michelle Bohlayer, County Auditor

Date: January 31, 2025

Subject: Auditor's Review of Legislation for the February 3, 2025 Council Meeting

Bill 1-25: Branches of County Government – Executive Branch – Reorganization – Office of Transportation – Public Ethics – Transportation Officer

Summary of Legislation

This bill codifies the Office of Transportation (Office) with the purpose of providing transportation mobility and planning services to promote a multi-modal transportation network throughout the county and providing assistance to County officials, employees, agencies, boards, and commissions in matters concerning transportation planning, development, and public transit operations in the County. This bill establishes the Office under the Executive Branch and specifies the duties and appointment of the Transportation Officer who reports to the Chief Administrative Officer. Additionally, the bill creates a financial disclosure requirement for the Transportation Officer with the Ethics Commission.

Review of Fiscal Impact

While we agree with the Administration's fiscal note that there is no financial impact for the implementation of this bill, it is important to note that, given the current level of fiscal year 2025 funding (\$10,494,200 in general fund, \$4,298,800 in grant fund, and \$240,000 in video lottery local impact aid for a total of \$15,033,000 in funding), there could be a significant impact if this bill does not pass.

Bill 2-25: Subdivision and Development – Zoning – Development Requirements for Particular Types of Development – Redevelopment

Summary of Legislation

This bill sets forth requirements for the redevelopment of existing development sites where the existing impervious area of the redevelopment site exceeds 40% of its total area in Critical Economic Policy Areas, Town Center Policy Areas, and Transit-Oriented Overlay Areas including the Glen Burnie Sustainable

Bill 2-25 (continued)

Community Overlay Area. The intent is to incentivize and simplify the redevelopment of existing sites. This bill introduces new guidelines for redevelopment projects, requires efforts to maintain transparency with the community impacted, establishes rules for redeveloping environmentally sensitive locations, includes exemptions and modifications for streamlining approval of applications, provides incentives to encourage investment in redeveloping certain areas, and adjusts existing density zoning rules related to the development of multifamily, townhouse, and stacked townhouse-style dwellings within Critical Economic, Critical Corridor, Transit Oriented Development Policy Areas. This bill also reduces redevelopment sketch plan, preliminary plan, site development plan, final plan, grading permit, and building permit application fees by 50%.

Review of Fiscal Impact

Given the data available, the Administration was unable to provide a concrete estimate of the potential fiscal impact of this legislation. The Office of Planning and Zoning does not currently track which projects qualify as redevelopment projects in the areas specified in the legislation, but is planning to track this data with the upcoming launch of a new program.

We confirmed the current revenue data from the Administration's fiscal note for building applications, building permits, grading applications, grading permits, and service development fees. We concur with the Administration in that we are unable to estimate the impact of the legislation because the redevelopment project data is not available.

Bill 3-25: General Development Plan – Region 9 Plan & Bill 4-25: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 9

Summary of Legislation

These bills adopt the proposed comprehensive zoning plan and map for Region Planning Area No. 9. These bills require the Planning and Zoning Officer and County Council Administrative Officer to keep the adopted plan and digital zoning layer on file and authorize the Office of Planning and Zoning to amend text, maps, and related material to reflect Council amendments, correct obvious errors, and improve readability.

Review of Fiscal Impact

We agree with the Administration's fiscal note that these bills have no direct fiscal impact but that implementation of the plan and future development pursuant to zoning changes could affect County revenues and expenditures.

Resolution 1-25: Approving a Nomination for Appointment to the Stakeholder Advisory Committee for Region Planning Area No. 5

Summary of Legislation

This resolution approves the nomination of Mr. Steven Onken of Odenton, Maryland for appointment to the Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) for Region Planning Area No. 5 which includes Crofton, Gambrills, Odenton, Piney Orchard, Two Rivers, and Woodwardville. Mr. Onken is nominated to replace Mr. Jonathan Walworth, who resigned from the Committee.

Review of Fiscal Impact

This resolution has no fiscal impact.

Resolution 2-25: Petition to the Maryland Higher Education Commission for Anne Arundel Community College for the Florestano Building Renovation Floors 1 Through 3

Summary of Legislation

This resolution petitions the Maryland Higher Education Council to provide a loan of \$384,933 to fund renovations to Anne Arundel Community College's Florestano Building to renovate electrical switchboards and replace elevators on floors 1-3.

Review of Fiscal Impact

We agree with the Administration's fiscal note that this bill would allow the County to receive an additional \$384,933 in state funding towards the Florestano Renovation project.

Resolutions 3-25 & 4-25: Petition to the Maryland Higher Education Commission for Anne Arundel Community College for the Dragun Science Building Preconstruction Services and Renovation Design, respectively

Summary of Legislation

These resolutions petition the Maryland Higher Education Commission for a grant of \$54,596 in Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) preconstruction services (Resolution 3-25) and \$2,564,720 to procure design services (Resolution 4-25) to Anne Arundel Community College for the renovation of the Dragun Science Building.

Review of Fiscal Impact

We agree with the Administration's fiscal note that these resolutions relate to \$2,619,316 of prior approved state funding for the Dragon Science Building Renovation.